1 2 3 4 5 6 7	BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP William F. Abrams (SBN 88805) william.abrams@bingham.com David S. Cannon (SBN 209501) david.cannon@bingham.com Erin A. Smart (SBN 246288) erin.smart@bingham.com Olivia Para (SBN 255592) olivia.para@bingham.com 1900 University Avenue East Palo Alto, CA 94303-2223, U.S.A. Telephone: 650.849.4400 Facsimile: 650.849.4800					
8	Attorneys for Defendant Hewlett-Packard Company					
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION					
13						
14	MMCA Group Ltd.,	No. 06-7067				
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	Plaintiff, v.  Hewlett-Packard Company and PICA Corporation,  Defendant.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING PRETRIAL DEADLINES  [No Hearing Required] Judge: Hon. Maxine M. Chesney				
-	A/73281852.2/2007550-0000323151	Case No. 3:06-CV-0162 MMC (JCS)				

## I. Introduction

Due to recent additions to two parties' counsel and limiting of some issues in the case, the parties agree that slight modifications to the Court's previous scheduling order would allow them to more fully prepare their cases, while maintaining the Trial and Pretrial Conference dates. Plaintiff MMCA Group Ltd. ("MMCA") and Defendants Hewlett-Packard Company ("HP") and PICA Corporation ("PICA") file this Stipulation and [Proposed] Order to extend the time for the parties to meet certain deadlines set forth in the Pretrial Preparation Order dated June 15, 2009 (Docket 544), as amended by the Court's Minute Order of December 11, 2009 (Docket 695).

## II. Background

The parties have been working diligently and cooperatively to come to agreement on their joint pretrial filings and prepare their separate papers. Recent developments in the case have raised the need for modest adjustments to allow the parties to fully develop their pretrial preparation. Two parties recently retained additional counsel. (Docket 671-675 and 690-693) Additionally, several issues in this case were recently narrowed by the Court's summary judgment order. (Docket 732)

The parties have cooperatively negotiated an agreement whereby deadlines related to Exhibits, the Pretrial Statement, and Jury Instructions are moved ahead a few days while the remaining dates, including the Trial and Pretrial Conference dates and the dates related to motions *in limine* remain unchanged. Additionally, the parties have met and conferred regarding dates for exchanging and submitting designations of deposition testimony they wish to use in their cases in chief at trial and ask that the Court adopt that agreement as part of this Stipulation and [Proposed] Order.

## III. Conclusion

Accordingly, the parties stipulate as follows:

a. Motions *in limine* remain as previously scheduled, specifically:

1	i.	On Monday, Febru	uary 1, 2010, motions $i$	n limine shall be filed and served.
2	ii.	On Monday, Febru	uary 8, 2010, opposition	ns to motions in limine shall be filed
3		and served.		
4	b. Pretria	al Statement		
5	i.	On Tuesday, Febr	uary 2, 2010, the partie	s shall file with the Court a copy of
6		their Joint Pretrial		17
7	c. Depos	sition Designations		
8	•	•		
9	1.			2010, each party shall deliver to all
10		other parties a hard	dcopy of any deposition	n testimony to be presented in that
11		party's case in chi	ef at trial, other than fo	r impeachment.
12	d. Exhib	oits		
13	i.	By 12:00 p.m. (no	on) on Wednesday, Fel	oruary 3, 2010, each party shall serve
14		on every other par	ty a hardcopy set (in 3-	ring binders) of the exhibits it
15		proposes using at	rial, other than for imp	eachment.
16	e. Jury I	nstructions		
17	i.	On Friday, Februa	ry 5, 2010, the parties	shall file with the Court a copy of
18		joint jury instruction	ons.	
19	ii.	On Friday, Februa	ry 5, 2010, each party s	shall file with the Court a copy of any
20		separate instructio	ns only as to those inst	ructions on which the parties cannot
21		agree.		
22	iii.	On Friday, Februa	ry 5, 2010, each party s	shall file with the Court any
23		objections it has to	another party's separa	te proposed jury instructions.
24	f. Where	e applicable the subs	stance and format of the	e above filings shall be in accordance
25		with the Court's June 15, 2009 Order (Docket 544).		
26				
27	_			
28		remains set for Marc		
	A/73281852.2/2007550-0	JUUU323151	3	Case No. 3:06-CV-0162 MMC (JCS)

1		
2	DATED: January 29, 2010	
3	• ,	Bingham McCutchen LLP
4		By:/s/William F. Abrams
5		William F. Abrams Attorneys for Defendant
6		Accorneys for Berendant
7		
8	DATED: January 29, 2010	
9	·	Luper Neidenthal & Logan
10		By: /s/ Gregory H. Melick
11		Gregory H. Melick Attorneys for Plaintiff
12		Auomeys for Framum
13		
14	DATED: January 29, 2010	
		Geonetta & Frucht LLP
15		By: /s/ Frederick J. Geonetta
16		Frederick J. Geonetta Attorneys for Plaintiff
17		Auotheys for Flamum
18		
19		<u>ORDER</u>
20	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
21		
22	DATED: _February 2, 2010	Maline M. Chelrey
23		Makine M. Chesney, Judge United States District Court
24		Judge, United States District Court
25		
26		
27		
28	A/73281852.2/2007550-0000323151	4 Case No. 3:06-CV-0162 MMC (ICS)